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Reviewer's report:

This was an interesting study, and pertinent to the quality of public websites that patients may use rather than actually accessing a physician's care. I think it could help improve the quality of such websites in the future. I just have some language suggestions for clarity and a couple of other issues that you may need to address.

Line 46: insert 'were' between sources and used
Line 74: Change 'and had' to 'with'
Line: 97: decision-makers' values
Line 102: after 'informed decsions' add, 'and to reduce the rate of unplanned pregnancy.'
Line 119: Edit to read "...offer recommendations on which websites are more reliable for patients to visit.'
Line 181: Use 'article' rather than the plural.
Line 334: Use 'indicates' rather than the singular.
Line 371: Use 'need' rather than 'needs'.
Line 406: Insert 'use' between 'suitable to' and 'without'.
Line 407: Add 'to' between 'model' and 'systematically'.
Line 408: Use 'are' rather than 'is'.
Line 476: correct 'practive' to 'practice'.
Line 515: This reference is about Downs Syndrome and has nothing to do with contraceptives.

Level of interest
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published

Declaration of competing interests
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal