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Reviewer's report:

This manuscript has to go through strict editorial process. LARC users are not considered patients, the most appropriate concept is 'clients'. Many of the things mentioned under the 'methodological considerations' section are better moved to the 'methods' part of the manuscript. In the methods section under the study context the third sentence there is a phrase "close to all". Please, replace with the appropriate language, e.g. nearly all. In the methods section, under the data analysis, please provide more information on the criteria used. Also the validity instrument you mentioned. Absolute values, proportions, and percentages are presented (e.g. n=846, 17%; 13/46 (28%) is wrong. The statements in the result section of the report are long and not simple to understand by a reader. Therefore, the researcher should rewrite them.
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