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Author’s response to reviews:

Response to Reviewers

Dear authors

I appreciate your effort to revise the manuscript. This revision looks much better than previous version. However, I find that English must fix in the manuscript.

Dear Reviewer, We are highly grateful for the rigorous review of our manuscript and improvising its quality. We have thoroughly checked the manuscript as suggested for any language error and tried to fix it.

Reviewer Comments

There are some other errors I noticed.

1. Comment: Reference citation is missing randomly for instance in line 76 - 78. Therefore, I would suggest authors carefully check the manuscript and references must cite where they stated evidence.

Reply: We checked the manuscript and found that we had missed the citations while revising the manuscript previously. Now we have added them as suggested.
2. Comment: Lines 126 -127 (With the help …) would be best to place after the lines 136 -137 (From the first…).

Reply: Done as asked

3. Comment: In the line 148, 'diagnose' must replace with 'screen'.

Reply: Agreed and replaced.

4. Comment: 0.1 percent is missing in the percentage distribution of education status in table 1.

Reply: 0.1% difference is because of showing the data in round figures.

5. Comment: One (1) is missing in the frequency distribution of "History of emotional or physical abuse" in table 3.

Reply: Accepted and corrected.

6. Comment: One (1) is excess in the frequency distribution of "Ever drank alcohol beverage" in table 4.

Reply: Accepted and corrected.

7. Comment: 0.1 percent is missing in the percentage distribution of "How often drank alcohol beverage" in table 4.

Reply: 0.1% difference is because of showing the data in round figures.

8. Comment: I do not think the confidence interval of the prevalence of CMD is correct that authors provided. Please check this and also provide decimal after dots for instance 35.8.

Reply: From the data obtained we had calculated the prevalence of CMD using statistical software and on your suggestion we checked it again and found the same figures. If you are not convinced with it. We would request you to explain in detail so that We would be able to understand our error in the calculation.

We do not exactly understand what decimal we have to add after dots. If you are suggesting for putting full stop after 35.8%, then we cannot do it as the sentence does not end there.

Thanks and Regards.