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Reviewer's report:

Many punctuation problems noted in the manuscript. Some of sentences are difficult to understand their meaning. Used evidences from other countries (Ghana and India) to explain some facts about Ethiopia. Regional classification of the country (urban, agrarian, and pastoral) is less interesting and no more used by program people. For example, how come that Mekele, Bahirdar, Gondar, Dessie, Adama etc are considered as agrarian and Harari be an urban region? Some references are cited in a wrong place in the Manuscript. Need to clearly and correctly interpret AAAR results of less than 1. You used different parameters (ARRR and OR) to compare different variables, while you should use only either of them. Many inconsistencies on the figures noticed (e.g. you presented multiple figures for number of home deliveries). In your methodology section, you described that you selected those women who delivered in the last one year for your analysis. However, under your limitation of the study, what you mentioned about five years doesn't seem to give sense.
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