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Reviewer's report:

Review comments

1. Title: why you were interested in this topic since risk factors or factors associated with sexually transmitted disease are already known.

2. On page 4, line 31-33; you considered only regular undergraduate students. Don't you think that being not regular students, for example, evening students that attend the class on the night do have considerable risk that you haven't studied. May you think that this affected your study, if not how did you alleviate?

3. Page 4, line 54-56; how did you make sampling frame to select study unit from a department having different batches of students?

4. Page 5, line 7; why you used English version of questionnaire for self-administered data collection since all participants may not equally comprehend some medical expressions in the questions?

5. Page 5, line 9; the sentence "each study participants were sate individually" is not clear, what do you mean? Even though they are MPH students, your data collectors are Gondar university students. So, didn't this affect quality of your results? How do you see this in research view?

6. Page 5, line 19-28; how do you rely on the validity of your operational definition to represent STIs? For example, scrotal swelling may or may not represent STI.

7. Page 5, line 44; I think you have interchangeably used the term "multiple logistic regression" instead of "Multivariable logistic regression". Do you think that they are similar?

8. Page 6, line 11; the title of table 1 that you said "Socio-Demographic and Economic characteristics" does not have any economic related data, so, why you included the term "economic" in the title.

9. Page 10, line 47; Make it "In bivariate analysis,"
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