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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for giving me the opportunity again to look at this paper. While several points improved, I now realise that there is a disconnect between what the introduction, research question and discussion which is focused on eHealth and the results presented which focus on the social innovation that had been initiated alongside the eHealth innovation. The results presented very little (if ever) embark to anything what is eHealth. It is the social innovation that was implemented at the same time together with the eHealth intervention is not really described, nor put as a key aim to evaluate. Although the authors also say that eHealth solutions need to implement and reviewed together with the social innovation, I feel it is then wrong to brand the study as eHealth as this obscure finding.

The discussion section starts now with limitations, while it should start with a summary of results. I also do not think that my point of the many interviews is addressed (the sampling strategy is not described, and no argument why what has been done), and then the discussion even mentions focus group discussions, which are not mentioned earlier.
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