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Reviewer's report:

Overall, your revisions improve clarity. However, the primary outcome and description of the research design of the study is still lacking. For example, the entire methods section until the fifth paragraph is comprised of a description of the tool. I recommend moving the STUDY design to the beginning of the methods section. For example, "We conducted a descriptive study to evaluate…” "Our primary objective was to assess the proportion of subjects completing the tool who evaluated the tool as helpful…”

The FPQ data in the abstract is not reported in either text or table form in the main body of the manuscript. Simply proportion <1 or >1 is not sufficient. Consider addition of median (range). Consider including a demographics table 1 with two columns - those with FPQ >=1 and <1 with demographic data (parity, age, partner status) - whatever data you have from the tool itself. If you don't have data from the tool, then please only include data from the evaluation survey.
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