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Reviewer's report:

The paper presents interesting findings related to the evaluation of the Family Planning Quotient and Reproductive Life Index (FPQ/RepLI) tool. These findings have practical implications discussed in the article. I have few comments/suggestions.

Abstract
1. It would be helpful to provide some background information about the tool.
2. I suggest adding some information about the analyses used.

Introduction
3. Why did you relate access to family planning services to only women's health without mentioning family health, couples…?
4. Line 44: the limitations of previous tools are not well-explained. I suggest adding more information about these tools and why they were not widely adopted.

Methods
5. It is not clear how the tool was developed (for example the scientific evidence used to develop this tool).
6. Line 113: I suggest adding examples of the statements used.

Conclusion
7. Lines 200-202: I suggest rephrasing this sentence.

Level of interest
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article of importance in its field
Quality of written English
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