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**Reviewer's report:**

Interesting paper with an important field of research.

I have a few comments:

The migrants that you included were apparently from different kind of backgrounds and this would need to be discussed, since that could affect the results.

In the method, you mention that you analyzed the interviews according to an ethnographic investigation by Higginbottom 2016 and I would like you to clarify why you choose an ethnographic analytic method?

It would be great if you could clarify how the analysis resulted in the headings that you have in the results. I do not understand table 3 with other headings compared to the results, could you make it clearer?

Good discussion of the results, however I would like more discussion about the method. How did focus groups affect the ability among the informants to be honest and comfortable in the interviews?

Migrants with different background such as either forced or voluntarily migration were included in the study, which I consider could affect the results. This might be needed to discuss.

The sentence on page 13 and line 369-371, I do not understand how you by qualitative studies could compare different groups? Is it possible?
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