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Author’s response to reviews:

Reviewer #3: Thank you so much for responding to the suggested changes and updating the manuscript. Great work!!

It will be good, if you could further refine the discussion section. There are still some repetitions of results in the discussion that could be avoided. The first paragraph should only highlight the main findings of the study, followed by paragraphs that discusses the justification of those findings by making comparison with other studies. You could avoid redundancy in presenting estimates of the findings under the discussion section.

Also, why do we need a clinical trial registration number for a cross-sectional study?
Response:

Thank you for this comment. The discussion section has now been revised to remove repetition and improve readability based on your recommendations. The discussion section has now been reduced from 1142 to 930 words. The strengths and weakness/limitation paragraphs remain unchanged as they were written to address reviewer comments from the last round.

Please note that a clinical trial registration number was required for this study by the University of California San Diego (UCSD) IRB.