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Reviewer’s report:

1. Why did you use convenient sample for quantitative survey? Sample size of 260 needs to be justified.

2. The manuscript lacks information on why was the particular area selected for the survey, how was the sampling done?

3. You told that the same girls from the quantitative survey were also interviewed for qualitative survey? This is quite irrelevant. You could have taken another set of girls?

4. what was the cronbach's alpha score of the validated questionnaire you used for the quantitative survey?

5. Some grammatical errors

6. In the title and objective it was mentioned SRH needs but in the entire article, I could not find any data on SRH needs? How was it assessed?

7. In the discussion section, menstrual hygiene management and Female genital mutation has not been described. why?

8. How was the qualitative data analysed? using excel sheet manually or software and how was it ensured that during the recoding from different languages into one language English, important information is not lost?

9. The author didn't mention where were the quantitative data collected? at home or school. Because such SRH related data are difficult to collect at households with parents around or how did investigator ensured privacy for data collection?

10. The title mentioned about SRH knowledge. however, the questions were limited to HIV/STI and contraception. This doesn't cover complete knowledge about SRH.
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