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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for your responses to my questions and critiques. The new manuscript is easier to read and better highlights your results. My remaining critiques are:

1) According to the Methods section, page 7, lines 2-3, age was broken down to 15-17, 18-19, 20-49 year old groups. However, the only results regarding age in the updated manuscript refer to women less than 19 years old (as shown in Table 3). Is this group actually women less than or equal to 19? The Methods section should be updated to define this group (For example, "Analyses were stratified by women's age (<19 years and >=19 years)...").

2) Discussion section, page 10, lines 1-2 - the source given (35) seems to be examining effectiveness (i.e. typical use), not efficacy (i.e. perfect use).

3) Discussion section, page 11, line 27 - the word "effectivity" should be replaced with "effectiveness".
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