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Reviewer's report:

Few comments

1. It’s a very interesting article and deals with a very important issue in a country with limited resources

2. The results could be better organized in three broad subs-sections based on categorization in terms of 1. priority area i.e. system, community or individual; 2. reproductive health topic i.e. maternal health, adolescent health, menopause, gender based violence etc and 3. respondents i.e. government staff, gynaecologists, academia etc. It appears that questions cutting across these categorizations are the ones that constitute a major portion of the top 50 questions.

3. Lines 79-80: Introduction section: I wonder if citation alone -either locally or internationally, should be considered as the critical indicator of importance of research topic. If systemic problems are identified the changes in policies and programmatic interventions may not get reflected in citations but maybe equally critical evidence of importance and use of research on a topic.

4. Line 238 priority setting was in reproductive health (as per topic and findings too). The sentence says priority setting in maternal health. Some such minor corrections are required.
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