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Reviewer's report:

It is better to rephrase the title as follow;

BARRIERS OR GAPS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF MISOPROSTOL USE FOR POST ABORTION CARE AND POST-PARTUM HEMORRHAGE PREVENTION IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Introduction (page five)


2. Line 56: Although Oxytocin is the first line drug… is either incomplete statement or "and in practice" is added incorrectly

3. Line 58; capitalize the world "who "similar to others

4. Line 58; "but on the basis of emerging body of evidence regarding misoprostol use for similar..." better to write as …but on the basis of emerging body of evidences WHO recommend…

Methods (page 7)

5. Line 23; why you use only three data base by excluding the known data base (Cochrane data base)????

6. In introduction section page 6, line 7; you stated that misoprostol was included in its essential medicines list (EML) model in March, 2011 but in methods line 36; you wrote as misoprostol was included in essential medicine list in March, 2012? Please check it
Page 8

7. Lines 9-14; what is the importance of writing outcome?? Because you didn't assessed the outcomes you mentioned, you only review the barriers/gaps in the implementation of misoprostol for …

Page 9

8. Line 35; …is =was

9. Line 45; The Mirza and Jenkins checklist was used for quality assessment of each included studies. It is better to write as "The Mirza and Jenkins checklist was used for assessing the quality of each included studies".

Discussion (page 15)

10. Line 14; put reference

11. Line 60; put the reference

Page 17

12. Line 20; what does mean LHWs? Do you mean CHWs??
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