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Reviewer's report:

The revision has addressed many of the reviewers' concerns. There are still some of mine that I think could be more fully addressed.

1- Material and Methods

The description of the sample.

There must be a mistake in the text added. You have added that sample has an average of 45.6 years of working professional experience. This is impossible because the oldest professional is 55 years old.

I think you should add professional experience (years) in table 3.

Methods- Information gathering procedure.

Line 207: You must write "Interviewers" instead of "Iinterviewers"

2- Discussion

The paragraph of limitations should appear at the end of the discussion section.

Line 636: You should add references in this line to discuss this paragraph. There are a lot of studies which have compared midwife led care with medical led care. Some of them have been conducted in Spain:

- Comparative study analysing women's childbirth satisfaction and obstetric outcomes across two different models of maternity care.

Conesa Ferrer MB, Canteras Jordana M, Ballesteros Meseguer C, Carrillo García C, Martínez Roche ME.


- Midwife-led continuity models versus other models of care for childbearing women.

Sandall J, Soltani H, Gates S, Shennan A, Devane D.


- A Comparison of Midwife-Led and Medical-Led Models of Care and Their Relationship to Adverse Fetal and Neonatal Outcomes: A Retrospective Cohort Study in New Zealand.

Wernham E, Gurney J, Stanley J, Ellison-Loschmann L, Sarfati D.


Line 652: You should add this reference to discuss this paragraph because it is a study which examine women’s satisfaction across two models of maternity care:

- Comparative study analysing women's childbirth satisfaction and obstetric outcomes across two different models of maternity care.

Conesa Ferrer MB, Caneras Jordana M, Ballesteros Meseguer C, Carrillo García C, Martínez Roche ME.


3- Ethics approval and consent to participate

Line 685: You must write "has been protected" instead of "as been protected"

4- Results

In tables 1, 4 and 5 should appear the same dimensions and avoid varying the name of the items, e.g. "Factors related to the motivation and attitudes of healthcare professionals" (Table 1), "At the professional and individual level". There must be coherence in the use of terms and titles given to items along the whole article.
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