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Reviewer's report:

I found your article very interesting, well organized and easy to read. I only have minor comments you might want to consider:

Methods section:

1. you refer to the invited participants as "students", this might be confusing, I would suggest to add also "Childless young men and women" for the description of the eligible sample.

2. regarding the questionnaires related to "reasons for preferences, attitudes towards birth, learning needs/ knowledge gaps about pregnancy and childbirth". You might want to make clear which were the answers options, and from where were those options taken. Did you determine your options considering qualitative studies for example?

Limitation section:

you stated that "Data presented are based on convenience samples from eight countries..." you might want to add "from university students", in order to emphasize the idea that the sample was comprise of well educated people.

please check the format of the references. In some sections you are using the numbers between brackets, and in other sections the numbers are above the line text.
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