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Healthcare provider's attitude towards disability and user's experience in utilization of maternal health care services in rural Nepal

Title:

• Two different topics seems to be merged. One is healthcare provider’s attitude next is user’s experience. We might need to have concrete distinction. Topic might mislead information. I would suggest to revisit title. Would be good if we could think of something catchy title if possible.

Abstract:

• Health care or healthcare pls be consistent in all areas
• Rupandehi, it is Rupanedhi district
• How disability was defined, please clarify this
• Suggested to spell out numbers less than 50
• attitude towards Disabled Persons (ATDP), why upper and lower case? What does ATDP means? Is it standard validated tool in Nepal?
• How many sites were source of data collection?
• General healthcare worker means?
• Qualitative interview, what does this mean? Is it key informant interview or else, please clarify?
• female community health workers or female health care volunteers (FCHV)?
• Any inclusion criteria for age of disabled women?
• Study duration months, years not mentioned?
• 79-81, pls consider revisiting these lines, it’s confusing to reader. Specially this line “More exposure to disability” what does this mean?

• 105 implementations of the ‘Safe Motherhood Project’; specify dates of project and show data to show progress? 106 marginalized populations such as people with disability and Dalits are still low…. what does low means? Is it lower than general population?

• 108” As in many low and middle-income countries” references required?

• Why introduction and background are separate? Is it recommended format? Introduction part is not required.

• 122-131 could be first para of article, better to have background before directly jumping to attitude info.

• 132-142: better to have data backup, qualitative info is not sufficient.

• As this article is focused on women with disability which is not clear in abstract? If you are writing from gender perspective this should come somewhere in your background section.

• 156: 58 Sub-Health Posts (SHP)—I don’t think Nepal has SHP..better to mention formerly called SHP

• 180: tool has not been pretested in Nepali setting. How you will validate this tool?

• 187: reliability coefficient was measured?

• Why quantitative to qualitative—this is not clearly mentioned in document. Please provide further reason.
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