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Reviewer's report:

This is a much improved version and the authors have addressed all concerns. I have two further suggestions:

1. Authors have divided the section "What were the main findings of the evaluations/studies?" into "process, outputs, health behaviour, health outcomes and impact". I am not able to distinguish between 'Health behaviour' and 'health outcomes'. Are these not all outcomes? The sentence "The most common health outcomes evaluated were self-reported health behaviours" (line 290) further adds to this confusion. I would suggest that the authors consider regrouping 'Health behaviour' and 'health outcomes' as simply "Outcomes" or explain the logic model better in the data analysis section (lines 173-176).

2. Please divide the section "For what purpose have these evaluations/studies been conducted?" into process, outputs and outcomes as above and move the section just before "What were the main findings of the evaluations/studies?"

Kindly check grammar, sentence construction and punctuation.

Level of interest

Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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