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Reviewer's report:

This indeed is a useful study with scientific merit. Such study can be helpful in understanding the various evaluation approaches used in India. However, the following need to be considered before the publication

* Abstract needs to be prepared in standard recommended format

* Acronym use in the text need to be revisited

* Would be useful if you can elaborate further reason of keeping plain English summary portion?

* Spell out less than 50 numbers in text

* Some of the facts in background section require references (example: line number 89)

* Literature review strategy can be presented in step by step flow-chart

* Be consistent with terminology used in manuscript such as use of broad or wide

* It looks like a summary of the various evaluations. A critical review of the different approaches used along with the pros and cons of each methodology used and few concrete recommendations for future evaluations of ARSH services would make the paper more succinct and suitable. Global practices and debates on various evaluation procedures can be taken as references to recommend way forward.

* Manuscript needs thorough revision and correction of the typos
**Level of interest**
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English**
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Needs some language corrections before being published
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