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Reviewer's report:

Minor Essential revisions

In results, the authors say "Thus we may consider that data were missing at random". Can they explain? Does it really add anything to the paper?

The authors also state that "Study results also show ... post-abortion care". I'm not sure I read anything about this in the results so why this in the discussion?

Also "Hormonal injectables ... over the las decade in the country" but no reference is cited.

I'm also not sure the authors assess quality of family planning and yet this is mentioned in the conclusions. Can you please clarify!

Authors must also check their use of indefinite article "The"

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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