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Reviewer's report:

This work makes an important contribution to understandings of disability and impairment in Cameroon, and to the field of understanding how these concepts can be studied at population and national levels. As the authors state, this is the first study that we are aware of to look at the complexity of measuring disability prevalence in Cameroon. It is also a useful article for those who are interested in the history of disability surveys, and who want to understand the differences in survey approaches. It is important to note a few points. Disability is culturally and socially constructed, as the authors convey in their paper. Questions related to access are important to consider, and so it is helpful that the authors included that information in their descriptions. I suggest that the authors introduce their understanding of the ICF earlier in the introduction. The challenges of considering the similarities and differences of the many languages in Cameroon, including English, French, and Pidgin, could be mentioned more explicitly. Especially when comparing the meaning of words and concepts, this complexity needs to be carefully addressed. It is not clear why the authors did not include older adults in their analyses, so this decision could just be explained.
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