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December 5, 2019

Rosanna Gonzalez-Mcquire,
The Editor,
Health Research Policy and Systems

Dear Sir,

Re: Revision of manuscript (HRPS-D-19-00180)
We thank you and the reviewers for prompt review and useful comments which have contributed to improving the quality of this paper.
We have revised the manuscript in line with reviewers’ comments. Please find below a point-by-point response to these comments.
Thank you as we anticipate a favorable response.

Sincerely,
Uchenna Ezenwaka (corresponding author)

REVIEWER #2

Line 56 pg 2: …Department of Planning, Research and Statistics
→‘department of planning, research and statistics’ has been corrected to ‘Department of Planning, Research and Statistics’ (Pg. 2 line 61)

Line 9 pg 4:…..losses
→The error ‘lossess’ has been corrected to ‘losses’ (Pg. 4 line 103)
Line 41 pg 4: …infested
- The error has been corrected to ‘infested’ and ‘infected’ deleted. (Pg 4 line 116)

Line 19 pg 6: …evidence from research
- The second evidence after research has been deleted from the sentence. The sentence was also rephrased (Pg 6 line 143)

Line 29 pg 6: …addressed, strategies to boost
- The punctuation error has been corrected by inserting a ‘comma’ after addressed (Pg 6 line 146)

Line 41-46 pg 6, should rephrased with proper punctuations.
- The sentence has been rephrased with proper punctuation (Pg 6 line 158 -160)

Line 10-17 pg 7: …The study explored the barriers to users' of evidence in decision making as regards to the control of ETDs in the State by the producers and users of evidence drawn from tertiary institutions and health organization in the study State.
- The two sentences have been rephrased and merged into one (Pg 6 line 155 - 160)

Line 30 pg 7: …Remove the study population were producers and users of evidence
- In revising the study design, the phrase “producers and users of evidence” was deleted. Hence, the sentence was retained and rephrased in the study population (Pg 7, Lines 170-172)

Line 34 pg 7: …are involved in HPSR and other research evidence generation.
- The sentence has been corrected and simplified to ‘…..in HPSR and other research evidence generation’ (Pg 7 line 173-177)

Line 44 pg 7: …Remove one state. Continue as …..COOU, also with 3 campuses located at…..
- In the sentence, ‘One state’ after Agulu and…. has been deleted (Pg 7 line 177)

Line 49 pg 7: …Redefine the users of evidence thus…These are policy makers, SHM and PO, who make use of or should make use of evidence in decision making and health programming. They were selected from various departments/ units in the SMoH and health agencies, including public health/disease control; Planning, Research and Statistics;…
- “Users of evidence” has been redefined and first letters of the name of persons/places written in Capital letters as appropriate (Pg 7 line 180-184)

Lines 14-32 pg 8: …use semi-colons rather than comas eg Pharmacy; Planning, Research and Statistics;…
- The error has been corrected and all commas have been replaced with a semi-colon - Public Health/Disease Control; PRS; Pharmacy; and Health Administration and Management (Pg 8 line 187-191)
Lines 26-27 pg 8: State Ministry of Economic Planning and Budgeting. This should apply to others including the name of the state and wherever State is used as a place.

- The error has been corrected in the entire manuscript. All first letters of names of places have been written I first letter (Pg 8 line 192)

Line 4 pg 9: Table title should be independently descriptive. Eg Background characteristics of POE and UOE in Anambra State, Nigeria.

- The title has been fully written as ‘Background characteristics of producers and users of evidence in Anambra State, Nigeria’ (Pg 8 line 195-196)

Line 12 pg 11: political interferences

- The error has been corrected to ‘interference' and ‘inference’ deleted. (Pg 10 line 229-230)

Line 29 pg 11: producers of evidence

- The typographical error has been corrected to ‘producers of evidence' (Pg 10 line 236)

Synchronize table 2 properly regarding Poor demand and support for research evidence

- An additional row was created for barrier; poor demand and support for research evidence and its potential solution. (Pg 11 line 249)

Line 19 pg 15: and release of fund

- The omitted words ‘…..of funds’ has been inserted (Pg 14 line 303)

Line 26 pg 15: data records are incomplete

- The omitted words ‘..are' has been inserted (Pg 14 line 307)

Lines 46-53 pg 15: for implementing the preferred program. implementation of EDCP, to a large extent, politicians.....to take up.

- The error within the sentence has been corrected to ‘the' and ‘of’ deleted. Also, ‘s’ was added to the word ‘politician’ (Pg 14 line 315 - 316)

Line 60 pg 15: or used as political settlement.

- The error in the sentence has been corrected to ‘used as' and ‘a’ deleted. (Pg 15 line 320-321)

Line 26 pg 16: remove include

- In the sentence ‘include’ has been deleted. The sentence was further rephrased (Pg 15 line 328-329)

Line 31 pg 16: integrating use of research evidence
The omitted word ‘use’ has been inserted after …integrating (Pg 15 line 332)

- Line 36 pg 16: …remove that and which. … The existing "top-bottom" decision-making approach, excludes relevant actors at all levels of care and consequently, lead to poor implementation outcomes.

- The error has been corrected to ‘and’ and ‘that and which’ deleted from the sentence (Pg 15 line 333-334)

- Line 51 pg 16: …remove and & to. … "Policymakers (users of evidence) do not appreciate the importance of research evidence, that is why there is poor demand".

- The error has been corrected to ‘the and of’ while ‘and and to’ deleted from the sentence (Pg 15 line 334)

- Line 58 pg 16: …in terms

- The error has been corrected to ‘terms’ by adding s to ‘term’ (Pg 16 line 342)

- Line 12 pg 17: …remove following & to

- In the sentence, ‘following and to’ has been removed (Pg 16 line 347-348)

- Line 17 pg 18: …State Health Research and Ethics Committee

- The acronym ‘SHREC’ has been written in full ‘State Health Research and Ethics Committee’ in Table 2 above (Pg 17 line 374)

- Line 26 pg 18: …both State and Federal University in the State

- The first letters of the name of the place have been written in capital letters (Pg 18 line 394-394)

- Line 34 pg 18: …remove a … fund for research

- In the sentence, ‘a’ has been removed (Pg 17 line 380)

- Line 43 pg 18: …Among other recommended solutions,

- The error has been corrected and the sentence rephrased. (Pg 17 line 380-382)

- Line 48 pg 18: …ETDs. Remove is

- The error has been corrected by deleting ‘is’ from the sentence (Pg 17 line 387)

- Line 4 pg 19: …and a State website for research evidence generated in the state"

- The error in writing the first letters of the place in a small letter has been corrected to ‘State’ (Pg 18 line 394-394)

- Line 4 pg 19: …Participants'
The error has been corrected to ‘participants’. (Pg 18 line 393)

Line 41 pg 19:….Research Triangle Institute

The error in writing the first letters of the place in a small letter has been corrected to ‘Research Triangle Institute’ (Pg 18 line 412)

Line 4 pg 20:….the identified barriers

The omitted word ‘the’ has been inserted before ‘identified. The sentence was rephrased…. (Pg 19 line 418-419)

Line 14-19 pg 20:….The statement is ambiguous and confusing, rephrase it for clarity. Suggestion: ….which underscores the …. as found in the study …

The statement has been rephrased, simplified, and similar sentences merged for clarity (Pg 19 line 423-426)

Line 31 pg 20:…. This emphasizes the power of stakeholders' inclusiveness in health sector particularly in disease control. HOW? The statement is out of context.

The statement has been deleted (Pg 19 line 426-428)

Line 38 pg 20:….. relevant users of evidence in research priority

Line 38 pg 20:…..remove the findings. The poor demand for research evidence reflects poor value and low priority attached on research evidence by users of evidence probably because of poor level of producers- users of evidence collaboration, poor understanding of research evidence and its application, as well as policy makers' lack of technical capacity for integrating research evidence into policy and practice have been reported in some countries in LMICs.

The error has been corrected to ‘The poor demand for…..’ and ‘The finding….. ’ deleted. The statement has also been rephrased (Pg 19 line 439-443)

Lines 28-33 pg 21:…..Very ambiguous and confusing, kindly rephrase.

The statement has been rephrased for clarity (Pg 20 line 436-451)

Lines 22-24 pg 22:…. State-funded or State-supported research studies will translate to increase research evidence use and increase demand for research evidence.

The error has been corrected to ‘State-funded research….’ and ‘or State-supported’ deleted. (Pg 20 line 456)

Lines 38-46 pg 22:….NOT CLEAR

The statement has been rephrased for clarity (Pg 21 line 470-476)

Line 56 pg 22:….Remove utilization.
The error has been corrected and ‘utilization’ deleted. (Pg 21 line 478)

Line 38 pg 23:….Remove is appropriate interaction between researchers and policymakers

The error has been corrected and ‘…is appropriate interaction between researchers and policymakers’ deleted. The sentence was further modified and to another paragraph. (Pg 20 line 443-446)

Line 9 pg 24:.…..Remove of the. ….and enables collective accountability in implementing research objectives [42].

The error has been corrected and the sentence rephrased entirely (Pg 22 line 492-495)

Lines 29-34 pg 24:…..remove the. ….It has been recognized that the importance of developing and investing on national health research capacity for local research institutes in low-and middle income countries as a key element in strengthening countries' health systems has been stressed globally [16].

The error has been corrected and the sentence rephrased (Pg 22 line 495-496)

Line 40 pg 24:.….for control of ETDs is

The error has been corrected to ‘is’ and ‘are’ deleted. (Pg 22 line 498)

Line 58 pg 24:.….Odumegwu

The error has been corrected to ‘Odumegwu' and ‘Odumegbo’ deleted. (Pg 23 line 510)

Line 9 PG 26:…..The references are haphazardly compiled. Refer and adhere to the specifications of HRPS.

The error in compiling reference list has been corrected and all the references are now formatted according to HRPS Journal specifications (Pg 24 line 549- 666)

Reviewer #1:

General comments on the manuscript:
* The authors should ensure proper nouns and other words well written e.g. State and state, research not Research when it doesn't start a sentence
* Verbosity (wordiness) of sentences all through the text including rather long ones e.g. However, it also showed the interventions that could be used to enhance the use of evidence from research for improved decision-making, so as to strengthen the health system and achieve health goals.
* Incomplete sentences especially in quotes
* Attention should be paid to reference numbers and placement outside the full stop within the text and spacing between words.
* There should be substantial grammatical editing.
1. TITLE:
Appropriate for study, Only one State in Nigeria, should be better as South-East Nigeria
The title has been revised to reflect South-East Nigeria

2. Abstract
Line 22: Methods: Data analysis software needs to be specified
Data was analysed manually and this is now specified (line 55)
Line 44: Results: May be better presented as user barriers, producer barriers and joint.
Results have been revised to reflect cross-cutting barriers and specific barriers (lines 56-65)
Other barriers identified include weak capacity to undertake health policy and systems research (HPSR) and moribund research. (Add and as highlighted)
These have been corrected (lines 64 and 65)
I suggest state ministry of health be written with an initial capital S as well as other places that State is used as a proper noun
The word State has been capitalized anywhere it is used as a proper noun in the manuscript

3. Background:
a. Line 9: In-text referencing: Should the numbers be in or outside the bracket for Vancouver reference style?
The authors adopt the journal referencing style for in-text citation and bibliography of manuscripts. For BMC Health Research Policy and Systems, the in-text citation is placed inside the bracket at the same level as the texts, and the bracket is placed before the full stop.
b. A definition cum examples of ETDs and relationship to NTDs is necessary in the background for better understanding especially as authors referred mostly to malaria and NTDs
ETDs and NTDs encompass infectious diseases that are principally found in the tropics. Endemic tropical diseases encompass infectious diseases such as malaria, HIV and tuberculosis whose endemicity/prevalence remain the same all year round. Neglected tropical diseases refer to a diverse group of tropical infectious diseases that receive relatively low funding and research attention such as lymphatic filariasis, onchocerciasis, leprosy, leishmaniasis, African trypanosomiasis and schistosomiasis These definitions and examples have been provided in the background section ( Pg 4 lines 97-102)
c. Page 4, Line 34: "Evidence shows that in Africa, 34 countries are endemic to lymphatic filariasis, but Nigeria bears the highest burden, with 80 to 120 million people at risk [6,7] also, Nigeria contributes the highest burden (29 million) of schistosomiasis in SSA" Please include the year of this evidence in the text.
The respective years have been included
d. Line 43 "Nigeria, like most sub-Saharan African nations, failed to meet millennium development goal (MDG) targets for malaria and other diseases due to a multiplicity of health system-related, political and systemic challenges that contribute to increasing burden of endemic diseases and NTDs" This Statement needs to be linked to the preceding one that portrays the endemicity of some NTDs in Nigeria e.g. In addition to these, Nigeria, like most sub-Saharan African nations, failed to meet millennium development goal (MDG) targets for malaria and other diseases due to a multiplicity of health system-related, political and systemic challenges that contribute to the increasing burden of endemic diseases and NTDs. (The authors can choose which of the 'the' that best reflects their thought process)
The reviewer’s suggestion has been taken and the last statement linked to preceding ones (Pg 4-5 lines 117-120)
e. Line 56: 'A major health system barrier to the effective control of ETDs is lack of evidence-informed decision making (EIDM), especially in health policy and systems research (HPSR) that is required to strengthen the health system and ensure optimal control of ETDs.' (Consider using 'which'
'Which' has been used in exchange for that (Pg 5 line 125)

Page 5 Line 2: Pang et al. stated that robust funding, generation use of research, stewardship and resources are four fundamental components of a sustainable health research system

Line 7: Is Pang et al the correct way of writing using the Vancouver reference style.

The authors have revised the paragraphs to create better connect between statements and paragraphs (Page 5, lines 120-134).

The sentence, Pang et al……., has been moved to Pg 6 lines 148-151, and the referencing style revised.

Page 6 Line 4: [20,21]. It

Please add a space after the numbers

A space has been added after the numbers (Pg 5 line 137-138)

The authors mean that using evidence in decision making contributes to successful implementation of endemic disease control programmes. The phrase has been revised to reflect this (Pg 5-6, line 140-141)

This has been deleted

Page 7, Line 29: Put comma after addressed i.e. Except these barriers are addressed, strategies comma has been added after addressed (Pg l6ine 146)

Page 6, Line 41" Remove the one full stop after (GRIPP)

This has been deleted.

Please rephrase these statements below for better understanding:

Page 6: Line 36 " This paper contributes evidence of organizational and systemic barriers to evidence-informed decision making….."

Page 6: Line 41 "This is because, with increasing global funding for malaria and NTDs control and elimination has increased in recent years [3, 25], evidence-informed decision making so that there will be cost-effective and efficient reduction of ETD's burden are required"

The journal requires that authors state the aim of the study in the background

The last paragraph has been revised to include a study aim, and contributions of the manuscript to knowledge and policy. The sentences have been revised and reordered for better understanding (Pg 6, lines 153-160)

4. Methods

Study Design: Line 15; remove and before potential (as well as and potential solutions). Also this sub-section should be Study design and study setting or better split the sections

The sub-section has been properly captioned as “Study design and Study setting”. The paragraph on study design has been rephrased (Page 7, lines 164-169)

Page 7, L15: State of study is preferred to study State

The sentence has been deleted and rephrased.

Check line 37 for 'produces'

The typographical error has been noted and the sentence rephrased (Page 7 line 174)

Page 8, line 19 , write State primary health care development agency with initial capitals as appropriate

This has been revised (Page 8, lines 188-189)

Line 26: add 'the' before sector
This has been revised (Page 8, line 191)

f. Table 1: Authors are to confirm if the journal requirements for placement of tables is within text allowed

The authors can confirm that in-text placement of tables is allowed by the journal.

g. Authors are to provide details on Ethics approval and consent to participate in line with journal requirements

Details on ethics approval and consent to participate have been provided in the subsection (Page 23 lines 539-545)

h. More details on the data collection method required

detailed information on data collection is provided in the sub-section (Page 9)

5. Results

a. Page 11, line 12: political inference or political interference? Correct in other places

Political inference has been replaced with political interference in the manuscript.

b. Table 2: Please review the table for errors in spacing and initial capitals e.g. Economist

c. Table 2: What does this 'Constitution of TWG for R' mean

d. Page 13, line 43: Perceived NOT Perceived

The spelling error has been corrected (Page 12, line 268)

e. Page 14, line 26: The responsibilities of the PRS are too many to be referred as sole responsibility

The word ‘sole’ has been deleted from the sentence (Page 13 line 285)

f. Table 2: Authors to clarify if the solution stated under 'Promulgate legislative back up for integrating research evidence in…' is appropriate to the theme

The solution has been appropriately linked to a barrier, “Poor demand and support for research evidence”

g. Authors to check the correctness and tenses in this statement 'Producers feel that if users attached due importance to research evidence, that it may lead to appropriate allocation and release for Research in the state budget'

The sentence has been rephrased to ensure correctness of tenses. (Page 14, lines 302-303)

h. Check correctness of this sentence : However, participants reported that several data records incomplete or totally unavailable.

The sentence has been rephrased (Page 14, lines 306-307)

i. Page 14: but to a larger extent politician ultimately decide. Plural please

j. Page 14: Check correctness and also review quote which appears to be hanging: "how" and "what" policy and the decision took up. "Political interference in the health sector".

The whole sentence has been revised and the quote is in full (Page 14, lines 317)

k. NOTE THAT MOST QUOTES ARE HANGING AND NOT CONVEYING THE MEANING that they should. Kindly address

All quotes have been revised to convey meaning.

l. Page 16, line 12: anecdotal not anecdotes

This has been corrected (Page 15, lines 324)

m. Page 16, L24: Rephrase sentence to be more grammatically correct: The following barriers to evidence-informed decision making for endemic disease control were identified at the organizational level include.

Similar errors abound in the manuscript

Another example:

The existing "top-bottom" decision-making approach that excludes relevant actors at all levels of care
which, ('and' is better here) consequently, lead to poor implementation outcomes.

Page 16: Check correctness of statement: "Policymakers (users of evidence) do not appreciate and importance to research evidence that is why there is poor demand".

All sections of the manuscript have been revised and grammatical and sentence errors corrected.

All hanging quotes have either been completed or deleted

Page 17, L48: HRPS or HPRS?

This has been corrected. Page 17 line 365

Page 17: M&E has been used before and was just defined in full

Page 18: Under political interference, authors should give a better introduction under this. The statement implies, there are more than one recommendation under political interferences

A more appropriate introduction has been given (Page 18, lines 397-400)

Page 19, line 40, remove the first 'in the state' 'Active supporting donor partners in the state supporting NTDs and malarial control in the state'

The sub-section on current enablers has been revised and the statement rephrased (Page 18, lines 410-411)

Discussion:

Note that the authors have discussed evidence use in general and not specifics of evidence use in endemic disease control. Authors should arrange the discussion in line with the major findings of the study. The discussion appears disjointed and repetitive.

The discussion section has been revised and reorganized in line with the major findings of the study. Repetitions have been removed, grammatical errors have been corrected, sentences have been rephrased, shortened and made simpler, and the discussion section now reads better.

Page 20, Line 4: However, it also showed the interventions that could be used to enhance the use of evidence from research for improved decision-making, so as to strengthen the health system and achieve health goals.

This has been amended.

Page 20, L14: please check correctness of the sentence below; it's too long and wordy too!

The weak linkage between producers and users of evidence underscores the communication gap between the two categories of respondents that found in the study was attributed to that fact that most researches conducted are either researchers (producers of evidence) or funders driven studies

This sentence has been concisely revised.

Page 20, L29: Uneke et al [27]………….Is this Vancouver reference style

This has been revised appropriately.

Page 20, L34: in health sector…………..add 'the' before health

This has been amended

Page 20, L36: The implication is that the way priority setting process is done may be contributor of neglect to research evidence……………check correctness of this statement

This was also noted and fully revised.

Page 20, L36: Please discuss your findings and not that of Uneke

The above comment is noted and has been addressed.

Page 20, L56: The findings imply that poor demand for research evidence reflects poor value and low priority attached on research evidence by users of evidence. Attached to NOT on

This has been noted and sentence revised.

NOTE THAT THE AUTHORS SEEM TO BE REPEATING THE SAME IMPLICATIONS AND DEDUCTIONS IN THE FIRST PAGE OF THE DISCUSSION. KINDLY DISCUSS YOUR FINDINGS AND ITS IMPLICATIONS MORE SUCCINCTLY.

This important comment has been noted by the authors and addressed accordingly.
i. Line 21, L22: what do authors mean by a simple method……..use of more scientific terms that portray what is meant eg. use of evidence briefs etc.

This has been noted and addressed.

j. Summarizing and packaging research evidence in a simple method has also been stressed (emphasized) in various studies in both Nigeria and other countries

This has been noted and addressed.

k. Please rephrase the sentence: Page 20, L21. Too wordy and unclear

This statement has been rephrased.

The poor capacity of health systems research evidence?? ( is this research evidence use ) among users of evidence in decision making is suggestive of poor knowledge deficiency on research capacity, translating research evidence into policy and practice as well as the wide gap between producers and users of evidence.

This has been revised.

l. Page 20, L38: Constraint to what?

This entire statement has been revised.

m. Page 20, L43: the major strategic issue? Please complete this sentence……..

This has been revised.

n. Page 20, L48: What is the role of intensely in the sentence. There should be 'the' before moribund

This has been noted and revised.

o. Page 20, L54: This could significantly affect planning of health programs and lead to inefficiency in resource allocation and poor health planning and health outcomes. What is the difference between the first and second planning?

This has been revised.

p. Page 20, Line 58: Merge these two sentences

This is noted and the sentences have been revised.

Deductively, this implies that there is no system in place for incorporating research evidence-based decision making. Research evidence, therefore, do not appear to play a significant or any role in planning and implementation of programs for the control of malaria and other endemic
diseases

Above sentence has been fully revised.

q. Page 22, L12: Clarify the sentence below, did this study identify low priority or knowledge of research as stated:

Poor knowledge of research and low priority/importance attached to research evidence by users of evidence indicates the non-state budgetary allocation for health research in the study setting.

The authors have provided a clarification and revision of the above sentence.

r. Page 20, L19: The general perception of capital-intensive……add the before capital

These statements have been fully revised.

t. Our finding conforms to previous studies…………….. conform to previous studies?? Or findings from previous ………Authors should endeavor to address the plethora of grammatical errors and wordiness in this manuscript.

The authors have noted and revised accordingly.

u. HPSR+A evidence……………..What does this mean

Please rephrase: 'Another problem is that associated with poor utilization?? data collection, analysis and usability.' Please rephrase more scientifically…………………e.g., Another challenge to use of research evidence in………..

All above have been noted and revised appropriately.

v. Page 22, L58: Existing data health facility?? Please check correctness

This could probably be due to inadequate human resource for health challenge………..What is the role of challenge in this sentence
y. For the highlighted, kindly add the, put numerous before incomplete, remove missing, remove the comma, services
All above noted and revised.
This is in line with the finding that reported poor quality of HMIS data which included incomplete and inconsistent numerous data records missing across the health facilities making it difficult to use these secondary data for, evaluation of programmes/interventions services and for evidence-based policy/decision-making in the study state.
z. Page 22, L19: Is this implication about research or about data
aa. Page 23, L22: check correctness and tailor to poor data quality with respect to ETDs and not just planning.
Above comments have been noted and revised.
This also manifests in some users of evidence resistance to change from moving from anecdotal planning to systematic planning probably due to personal interest or lack of HPSR competence.
bb. Page 23, L46: In concordance with our finding, previous study in a similar setting………Add 'a' before previous
This has been reflected.
cc. Page 23, L60: Therefore, expansion of the producers-user research linkages to strengthen………
This has been reflected.
dd. Page 24, Line 26: Why is Health System Research in upper case initials
ee. Page 24, L29: investing on…………Investing in
ff. Page 24, L34: Check correctness of sentence………… as a key element in the strengthening countries' health systems has been stressed globally
Comments cc, dd, ee, ff have all been noted and revised.
7. Conclusion: Note that the in line with the journal requirements, the conclusion should state clearly the main conclusions and provide an explanation of the importance and relevance of the study reported.
gg. The current decision making process for control of ETDs are not significantly influenced by research evidence. Wrong use of are
This has been revised.
hh. Please compress the below into two short sentences
However, it is evident that the burden of such diseases would be significantly reduced when research evidence is used for decision making, with the removal of barriers to use of the evidence using the solutions that were suggested by both producers and users of evidence. (Too Long)
This has been revised to a more concise sentence.
Embracing the solutions recommended by producers and users of evidence will significantly improve planning and implementation of ETDs programs as well as health systems performance. (Similar to the long sentence above and is a better summary)
Noted and Revised.
8. References
Endeavour to check all references and ensure adherence to journal requirements e.g, Reference 11 does not have the organization's name
All references have been checked and Reference 11 amended.