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Reviewer's report:

The manuscript draft is informative, organized, and very well-written. The discussion presents content strengths and limitations in an unbiased manner. Manuscript has logical flow, and uses appropriate headings. The conclusions are supported by information presented in the manuscript. My suggestions are as followed.

In-depth interviews and stakeholders are immensely impactful on the paper's results. Elucidating more details of the interviewees and stakeholders could give readers more insights and understanding of the context. For future research, I would like to suggest the authors to include broader scope of interviewees and stakeholders. Taking into account Thailand's regional diversity and inequality, hearing voices from the various regional locals, and the local authorities might benefit the conclusion.

While going through your manuscript, I found some suspected typographical errors. On page 5- line 50, after data into policies, "it explorers the NHES's policy". Correction: It explores the NHES's policy. On page 10- line 56, "there was a pan to transfer". Correction: there was a plan to transfer. On page11- line 16, "the NHES' notable strength". Correction: the NHES's notable strength, NHES is a singular proper noun. On page12- line 2, "collectively taken for different health surveys". Correction: collectively taken from different health surveys. Please take my suggestions into consideration, and kindly let me know if I was mistaken.
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