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Reviewer's report:

This is a valuable article that takes an innovative approach to a long-standing issue, and adds insight through both its theoretical perspective and its empirical findings.

However, though the article foregrounds what was found to work, the findings about what did *not* work are at least as interesting. I suggest that the conclusions and abstract in particular be revised to give them equal weight.

More broadly, the article describes what sound like a fascinating series of case studies. Yet the focus on a hypothesis-driven approach and the lack of any qualitative data excerpts seems to leave the actual content of those case studies obscured. I am left curious about the actual policies and processes involved in each of the case studies and wanting to know more, in order to better understand the conclusions drawn. Would it be possible to provide more qualitative information about the individual case studies?
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