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Reviewer's report:

Going through this paper I have found out that it faces with several setbacks unless this paper it is intended to be published under commentary section:

Are the authors are addressing this paper to nurses, doctors or both (seems most of their references is from nurses articles (that means the article is emphasizing on the role of nurses).

It is well known that a lot of clinical researchers they are chairs in their domain and are capable in evaluating health services because they are clinicians and academicians at the same time.

Building on this, I believe the authors should declare that this study is limited to Australia and keep mentioning it as to Australia or the region they are address too and make comparisons between regions and provinces or states within Australia.

Also the authors could make comparisons Australia and with other OECD countries and developing countries. There are countries where clinicians are not experts in health care redesign and they require the assistance from academics to draw a new health care redesign especially countries with limited human resources as it is applied to some African, South Asian, and Middle Eastern countries.

The authors need to mention different healthcare redesigns that succeeded in different regions or states and elaborate about the reason of their success or their continuous re-evaluation.

The authors need to evaluate the reasons for the success in the healthcare redesigns in different programs around Australia and the where healthcare redesign projects had failed.

The authors need to illustrate the quality of life in patients after implementing the healthcare redesigns at least in couple projects around Australia and compared it to other projects done in other countries.

The authors should acknowledge that the OECD countries have the well trained health care professionals that have the expertise in building a healthcare redesign and they have multidisciplinary teams including project management consultants.

At least give a brief background of Australia health care system how it progressed and reached to Universal coverage.
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