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Reviewer's report:

I think this paper is a helpful contribution to an important area of discussion. I have just two suggestions to strengthen the paper. First, please provide a little more information on the study participants; this will help the reader get a better sense of what universe of experience is being tapped, and to what other settings the findings might possibly be transferable. Second, and most important, I think it would add to the value of the paper if there were a somewhat deeper and more far-ranging engagement with the existing literature. I trust that the journal can relax its norms with regard to word-limits. Some authors that have bodies of work relevant to this subject include: Russell Glasgow, Igor Rudan, Nancy Cartwright, Ray Pawson, Dean Fixsen, and the SUPPORT group--Oxman, Lavis and Lewin.
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