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Reviewer's report:

The manuscript provides a qualitative research in health agenda setting domain. With no hesitation I would recommend acceptance with revisions below:

Background:

1- in the 3rd paragraph: please edit the writing to make more solid argument. In p line 33, 34 please avoid 1st person pronouns & clarify the context of your statements.

2- Please provide a clear rationale of your aim. A sort of link between your introduction & the statement of study aim required.

3- Also, I would suggest few sentences after stating the aim to justify it in related to your introduction.

4- Kingdon's model well-explained in Methods; however, an intro from the original Agenda Setting theory must be in the background. A brief on Kingdon's model & why you chose it should be added in background within the agenda setting literature.

Methods:

5- Please provide more details about searching process. This may include: criteria, keyword, search engines, etc.

6- The questions list that use in interviews must be enclosed to understand the structure of the interviewing procedure.
Results:

7- The first stream must be Problem stream not Policy. Please correct.

8- From a procedural perspective, please provide brief on how you classify data into the three streams.

9- I would suggest avoiding the style of inserting quotations from interviewees, you might rewrite what's important into your text.

10- Numbering your findings must be like: 1 then 1.2, 1.3, etc..

Discussion:

11- I would suggest using subheadings in discussion to help reader in catching main 'So What?' points that you want to emphasize.

12- Please provide your suggestions for further research in the study topic.
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