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Reviewer's report:

This is very attractive paper and has good structure and design but need several comments to be improved.

1. In this analysis of agenda setting process of HCV policy, the level of agenda setting not mentioned.

2. Researchers not presented enough evidences about the problem in introduction and not mentioned clearly why this issue need to this approach of research.

3. In the problem stream the factors identified but the relationship between this factors and main issue not mentioned clearly.

4. In the discussion section authors not presented any discussion about: 1-The strengths and weaknesses of used framework; 2-the limitations of study; 3- compare about findings of this study with other studies in this scope (Methodological and conceptual dimensions of study).

5. Finally the major gap of study is the main question of study that not answered: what is the policy window in this issue? Has it been opened? Is it still closed? This question needs clarification and should be discussed further in the conclusion.
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