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Reviewer's report:

I believe that this is an important research. A new method of qualitative research for health policy research was proposed by the authors. By now I'd like to say:

1. The title is not satisfactory. It doesn't reflect what the authors did. The most striking feature was an analysis of CEP in which a new method was used.

2. Summary. The authors should have explained that they did an analysis of CEP and to do it they used a new method. I believe that this inversion would make the text clearer if had started from a concrete question and to answer this question they used/developed a new method.

Methodology. The authors did a systematic review and got 1163 papers - the corpus. Recently, in July, I did the same search using the same terms and I got almost the same numbers of papers and a lot of them were duplicated. The authors have to remove the duplicate from the corpus and do the analysis again.
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