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Reviewer's report:

I want to congratulate the authors for a very complete and enlightening account of the development of the NKP of India. This article will surely be of help for researchers and decision makers in other LMICs to promote research uptake and embedding processes.

I have two comments/suggestions:

1. In the abstract a brief description of what is meant by "insider and outsider perspectives" will help the readership to better understand the roles of researchers and decision makers.

2. The proportion of researchers VS decision makers (11 out of 16) in the number of informants should be further discussed as it definitely is related to the difficulties we regularly find in obtaining a larger participation of the latter. This could even be considered as a limitation of the study and be presented as such.
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