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Reviewer's report:

I appreciate the authors submitting this article. I believe it is important to continue to develop high-quality medical education researchers as well as primary care researchers.

I believe the challenge, as pointed out in the manuscript, is how to find ongoing time and funding to support medical education and primary care research. It is laudable that the Department of Family Medicine at the University of Ottawa has found a way to provide funding to support their faculty to do this research. I think including in the manuscript how the Department advocates for ongoing funding support for the PRIME will be instructive for readers. This could be added in the "Next Steps" section of the manuscript.

I appreciate the authors including the information about the projects that have been conducted and how that information is being disseminated (manuscripts and conference presentations) as well as including the amount of external funding that has been received to date. Readers of this manuscript, I'm sure, would be interested in ongoing efforts to obtain external funding for the continuation of these research efforts. This information could also be included in the "Next Steps" section of the manuscript.
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