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Reviewer's report:

The manuscript has improved essentially in the revision. Now some more recent and relevant references have been added, terms and frameworks clarified. Also the scientific article structure is now better.

However, there are still some issues needing correction.

1. The introduction paragraph already (too early) states what the paper will add to the field. That should be in the discussion/conclusion.

2. The conclusion is still like discussion with comparing, providing references etc. So there is no actual conclusion (=and so what?).

3. Discussion (now discussing one by one the three models used) and conclusion (somewhat more general discussion) could be combined. However, still very little comparison with the previous literature is done.

4. Health in All Policies deserves also a real (academic) reference, not only WHO.
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