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Reviewer's report:

hmm, P3 line 31: i dont think it can be true that literature searching went into 2020?? please correct that!

P4 line 45: i dont think you really mean 'addition' as it implies the dr is there too!! which can be the case but often isnt suggest change to '... experience a PA in any medical encounter' or similar

P5 line 34, York wont be known everywhere, why not say '... at the University of York, UK, in 2017' line 43: change to '... for increased sensitivity'

P6 exhibit 1: I dont understand the title, please re-phrase

line 49: what exactly were the criteria being applied?? (actually this emerges in the discussion, a bit late!!)

line 50; 'criteria were applied' (not 'was applied')

p7 line 54: still capitalises '... The Netherlands' when it should be '... the Netherlands' (similarly in exhibit 3)

All the way through: surely the correct English is 'satisfaction WITH PAs', not 'of PAs'

P9 line 30: i find these numbers very weird: do you really mean '... number of PAs assessed ranged from 1-2234' as if so it contradicts the previous sentence.

line 41: '... were interpreted...': by whom?

Exhibit 6: I dont understand this: 'PAs: N/A (general perception study)' This occurs more than once but doesnt seem to make much sense

and this is not easy to understand either: 'The questionnaires explored satisfaction, competency, interpersonal skills, time 1-5 Likert scale

Better would be:
The questionnaires explored satisfaction, competency, interpersonal skills, and time on a 1-5 Likert scale

'PAs not reported'; what does this mean?

You use 'MD'meaning DR: why not just say Dr (as MD is not s global term)

In the Berg reference you say it was within 4 weeks, twice! Why?

Drennan 2014 was primary care only not outpatients

P15 line 27: 'to protocols' not 'of protocols'.

line 37: sentence doesnt make sense as is, please fix

p18 line 38: '"' for their critical comments' not '"... to their critical comments'
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