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Reviewer's report:

An interesting article that adds to the NP in long term care literature. There is already some literature in this field which you did not acknowledge in your background. You may wish to go back and search a bit more.

Some examples include: For example, a systematic review of the effectiveness of advanced practice nurses in long term care by Donald et al.; Kaaslalainen et al., 2010; Clare, 2010, etc.

There is also a PEPPA framework to guide NP implementation by Bryant-Lukosius. Also in the background, the paragraph that starts on line 46 seems like it should come first addressing broad aged care needs. I did not see how you clearly addressed the 2nd purpose of the study which was to identify how stakeholders define the success of the OPNP role. In table 2, what is the difference between public sector and not for profit?

I have one question regarding the methodology - were they asked about implementation of the NP in the initial stages or now, given that some of them have had NPs in place for several years?

This is an important distinction for the interpretation of the results. There are also some minor editorial and grammatical corrections required.
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