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Reviewer's report:

This study tries to explore whether training in medical school effectively enough to prepare new medical graduates for rural work in community hospital using critical incident approach.

The overall challenge of this study is to draw a conclusion to answer the hypothesis. The main limitation is number of samples. This study conduct in single post-graduate training institute with 28 participants. So it tends to be sampling bias. The second point is a perception of well-prepared skills depending on many factors, not only curricula or studying environment but also somewhat on student background. However, the study didn't explore this factor. Hence, it would be not enough evidence to make a conclusion in line 333-334.

For minor issue are described below,

1) For qualitative study with small samples, it need to clearly state that how many participants express in each issue in terms of all of…., most of…., some, or describes in number, e.g 1,2,3…. It would be add in line 140, 167, 181, 200 and 221.

2) Some references should be added in line 282-283 and 300-301
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