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Reviewer's report:

1. In the background, it would be better to describe the contrast between curricula and real practice of doctors. It helps the reader to understand the gap clearly.

2. The example of utilization of critical incidence analysis in the health sector should be written to strengthen the benefit of this method.

3. Please consider recall bias due to the flashback questions that need sometime to memorize. This issue also can be discussed in the discussion section.

4. Table 1 could provide more in-depth analysis to the result. Instead of writing down the general perceptions of the subject, it can also categorize the critical issues in particular type of hospital, and also to analyze the issues based on the undergraduate track, and months working in community hospital.

5. The technique itself should be discussed in a very systematic way. The readers need to know more about the effectiveness of this technique.

6. Recommendations to the training institutions are also needed.
**Level of interest**
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published

**Declaration of competing interests**
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests' below.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal