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Reviewer’s report:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the manuscript.

This is an interesting paper with potential to contribute to the field.

I think the authors should be invited to address the points below to strengthen the paper.
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Line 13: Reference 2 from the list is the that was conducted in 3 African countries not worldwide.

Line 19: This should be 16% growth not 15% as indicated.

Line 39: Reference not provided

Line 52-53: You need to be more specific. Which health indicators are you referring to? Which developed countries are you specifically referring to?

Line 56: Reference not provided

Line 59: Reference not provided
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Line 6: Reference not provided

Line 12: Reference not provided

Line 19: Reference not provided

Line 25: Reference not provided
Methods: More details on methods would be helpful

What was the time period of the literature that was sourced?

What key words were used?

Which search engines were used?

Were all documents reviews in English?

Did the authors report only on published data?

Results: I have not been able to access the figures and tables to review.

Discussion:

Line 43: undergraduate should be one word

Line 48: Reference not provided
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Line 8-14: You make reference to studies in Brazil but have only provided one reference?

Line 21-25: How do the female medical professionals differ from their male counterparts? Is there a difference in genders in terms of the soft skills that males and females bring into a work environment?

Line 26-32: Why are some of the specialities dominated by males and some preferred by females? What implications does this have for human resource planning and service provision?
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