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Reviewer’s report:

It's important do describe the aims of the study with the verbs in the infinitive.

Distinguish the aims of the study form the study comissioned. This will make easier for the reader's comprehension.

"The ethics approval was obtained from institutional ethics committee". Please include the submission/aproval number of ethics committee in the Methods.

Tools and pilot tests were mentioned, but haven't been presented nor described.

Some terms used for search, but were not found in Dec's or Mash, please make sure they are correctly described.

I suggest describing the contents, guides and schedules of the interviews, it’s important to understand the paths covered by the search.

"We faced challenge while recording multi-tasking by workers, which was appropriately dealt." How was this dealt? it would be appropriate to describe these tasks by professional cadre or to create a figure and insert in the text.

"A SWOT analysis (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats) is described in Table 3." In the text doesn't have any description related the moment of the choice to construct the SWOT analysis, and the results in "Strengths, Weaknesses" are related to the study?; and "Opportunities and Threats" are related to the study commissioned? The results have to talk to each other.

It is necessary to review the figures.
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