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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for taking the time to address the comments I raised on the previous version of your manuscript.

Your revisions have made the manuscript much clearer.

I have some very minor comments below which I trust you will sort out prior to publication:

Page 8 lines 203 to 205:

You mention Table 2 here in the main manuscript before Table 1.

I'm also not too sure if Table 2 is the most helpful way of presenting you Six 'framework themes' as it is so detailed and in effect full of findings.

Maybe just list the 'framework themes' here??

Box 1 page 10 and 11.

The word "mechanisms" are used twice in this box (points 5 and 6).

As you are using a modified realist approach, you should 'reserve' this word for the realist meaning of mechanisms (so as to avoid confusion).

The word "process" may be a suitable substitute?

Page 17 line 388:

Change from "mechanisms" to processes please.

Page 17 line 396:
Change from "mechanisms" to processes please.
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