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Reviewer’s report:

This article shows deeply the disposition of medical, nursery and midwifery students to work in rural areas by a qualitative study. It shows their motivations, exploring incentives. The results could be used by academics and policy makers, despite the field phase was completed in 2011.

It is a qualitative study focused on students. Previous papers had been published by the same author, but the focus was the professional.

Some aspects must be revised, in my opinion

- In page 7, lines 10 to 12, they describe the human development and say "...index of 0.77, relatively similar to Lima’s 0.87" !! It is not correct: I think the number has an error because it is not similar!

- In setting, page 7 it could be interesting to know the population of Ica and Ayacucho to understand the posteriori results

- In results, page 11 nor 16-18, there is no reference to the table 4, probably the most interesting, with results of motivations and incentive expressed by participants. there are no results by gender, for example in job expectation of medical students.

- Discussion, page 20 redaction problem lines 24 to 44: is difficult to know when is a study result and when it is an author’s opinion

- orthographic or typing problems: page 3 line 52; page 22, line 10;

- References disorder: page 7 line 44, there is no announced reference, 28??; page 16, line 27, the number 57 go further the 36 and in page 19 return to 37; page 19, lines 28 and 38, references go directly from 40 to 45;

Level of interest
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:
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