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**Reviewer’s report:**

The manuscript has improved very much, in terms of English and focus. The response letter addresses well all my previous comments.

**Discretionary Revisions:**

I am, however, still not totally satisfied with the “Conclusions” paragraphs. I would rather leave the title as “Concluding remark” and include the first new paragraph “…selection criteria”. Then add the sentence from the end of the discussion “The full potential...women receive”. And I would leave out the rest. This way, is a general conclusion and not a difficult attempt to summarize the results of the study, which is already in the abstract.

**Level of interest:** An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable
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