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Reviewer's report:

Global longitudinal strain is a more reproducible measure of LV function than EF regardless of echocardiographic training.

This is not a highly original study but a well-done one. The results have been somehow published previously by the EACVI/ASE taskforce for strain.

Nevertheless, the present results are well-displayed, convincing.

It would be nice to add other simple measurement done in echo to compare the robustness of GLS as compare to LVEF but also, as compare to LV end diastolic dimeter, LA volume, or E/A ratio.

Why did the authors focus on 47 patients and not 50, are there patients that were OK for the analysis according to expert and not to trainees?
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