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Reviewer’s report:

The authors addressed most of the comments but the answer is not complete in some of them:

4- Echocardiography: Please review the references 13 : is no guideline

Answer: Thank you very much for the comment. We made a correction in reference list.

The article is not correctly cited, t


Moreover as far as I can tell, this is a guideline for management and not for Echo, please check.

7. In the reoperated patients population the data reported are referred to before rentervention of after.

Answer: We only analysed the group who did not undergo pulmonary valve replacement and the patients of this population were included consecutively from the register. We did not analyzed the reoperated patients population.

Ok but in the first paragraph of results section the authors report The total cohort of ToF patients was 109. Median age of all patients was 28 years (interquartile range 19-64) that is exactly the same age an age at repair of the "non reoperated patietns", Please check

11. Table 3 TV gradient max is very small Is it the velocity? - Answer: We mean maximal TV gradient in mmHg. , how can be the maximal TV gradient of 2.7 and RVP of 38.8 Please check again if it is not a velocity
Level of interest
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article of limited interest

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published

Declaration of competing interests
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal