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Reviewer's report:

Dear authors!

The article has improved and I think it can be published with three minor suggestions:

1. In Abstract conclusion it is written "NBMI already showed an effect on physical fatigue and there were indications to positive effects on other symptoms." It seems a little awkward English to me and it might improve the language by changing the sentence into "NBMI showed an effect on physical fatigue and there were indications to positive effects on other symptoms as well."

2. Line 493-97 in Discussion explaining about hospitalization - I think a better option would be to pay the miners from doing mining operations during the trial period, as some might be too poor to manage without an income even in a short period.

3. Lone 523-25 in Conclusion. Message a bit unclear to understand.

Best regards
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