Reviewer's report

Title: Determinants of Infant Feeding Practices among Mothers Living with HIV Attending Prevention of Mother to Child Transmission Clinic at Kiambu Level 4 Hospital, Kenya: A Cross-Sectional Study

Version: 2 Date: 06 Jun 2019

Reviewer: Melaku Engidaw

Reviewer's report:
*
* In the abstract section, Methods: it is too short and please try to include how this 180 comes, what type of sampling procedure is used, method of analysis and the model that you were used
* Why did you prefer to use COR? What about the co-founders
* Instead of inadequate knowledge better if you use poor knowledge
* Use full write up first instead of abbreviation like others consistently (HIV, line 50 and WHO line 61)
* Kiambu is 92 located in Kiambu County in Kenya. Line 91 and 92. From where 92 km? in which direction. We know that in Kenya there are towns and cities like Nairobi and others please try to state clearly with next sentences.
* Line 101 and 102, The pre-determined sample of 180 respondents were systematically randomly selected. "systematically randomly" these are two types of sampling procedure? Which one is yours? Is simple random sampling or systematically? Vs sampling interval of 3 was used to select 11 mothers per day in line 104
* "…. Computer…. "line 131. Instead of this use for window version
* Line 146, scored all the knowledge questions right. Needs reference.
* Under result, Demographic characteristics of the mothers, please try to add the word SD for Standard deviation and do for the age too?
* Regarding the analysis of Determinants of infant feeding practices among mothers, I didn't agree with the report of COR? It should have to be AOR. Please rethink over it?
* Revise your wording and try to make short and precise each paragraph in the discussion section
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