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Reviewer's report:

The result - mentioned the income wrongly

Conclusion must limit to what was studied and not assumptions

Reference was not properly assigned - ex after the 1st reference 5th reference is appearing in the text

Methods

First two paragraph could be moved under funding and admin details at the end of the article.

An attempt should be made to describe the selection of study population and sample size calculation and the failure to achieve the target could be described in the discussion and limitations.

The development of the study questionnaire was not described. Ideally it should be based on the available validated standard questionnaires. The experts input in modify the questionnaire

The details of the response option of each question/ food categories could be removed from the text and included as annexure.

The fast food is wrongly categorized to the shop type. This may lead to wrongly grouping any health food consumed in those food outlets. - Ex Mac-Rice is not a fast food.

The description of the income category was not clear.

Results

The sample size is very low and may affect the power of the findings. Male participant is very low.
Fried food and junk food were low but the fast food is high - this could be wrong categorization of the fast food types.

Why fruit consumption is very high and vegetable is very low? Vegetable category may be missing some important local vegetable consumed by the community.

Tables - Better to include the frequency also in all tables.
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