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Reviewer’s report:

The manuscript tried to evaluate the validity of a questionnaire for assessing new nutrition, knowledge, attitude, and practice of Thai population working age. Currently, many nutritional questionnaires are available about the potential correlation of nutrition, knowledge with the health of adults. In this work, a routine method was developed to characterize the changes. In which the results may provide a potential approach in characterizing the benefits and problems on to adult health and lifestyle diets. Therefore, I suggest it can be accepted after major revising.

Generally, the method in the abstract and even in the manuscript needs more details.

In Introduction, why you see that NKAP is the most proper questionnaire for your studied population. It shall more clarify in the introduction, not discussion (Line: 220-239). In which, there are many other questionnaires could give a better evaluation for adulthood age. And, the objective and innovation of your study should be more discussed.

In methods, the anthropometric measurements should be discussed? In which, the characteristics.

Line 97: "...populations particularly.." Put "," to be "...populations, particularly..".


Line 153-156: "Factors are correlated.....". Please, restate this paragraph and check the tense and other grammar errors.

Line 248, 251, 259: "construct", "number", and "final' to be "the construct", "the number", and "the final'.

Line 290: "Acknowledgements" to be "Acknowledgments".

In conclusion: More details about your observations by showing some of this valuable study significations point by point need to be added.

Figures: Generally, figures have many format errors that should be readjusted following Nutrition journal instructions.
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