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Reviewer’s report:

Thank you for giving me an opportunity to review this manuscript. The study described the validity of a previous questionnaire developed to assess nutritional knowledge, attitudes and practices in a Thai population. Overall, the manuscript needs a thorough revision of the English and some restructuration. I have included below some comments/suggestions.

Abstract:

I think the use of the acronyms K,A (etc) is dispensable and might even be confused; considered removed it and use the full name (here and in the main manuscript).

I suggested deleting the sentence: "The NkAP questionnaire was developed… process", and included the information that the questionnaire was based on previous literature/expert panel. The use of exploratory factor analysis (EFA) should also be acknowledged in the abstract.

Background

Line 100: there are missing references;

"the country has undergone.." and not "as"

Lines 101: Perhaps "these changes had led to…"

The background also needs an English revision and the addition of more reference

Methods:

I suggested including some subtitles, such as, 'study design', 'questionnaire development', 'validation process' to improve clarity.

Data analysis
Lines 152-155: please rephrase this sentence, it is a little confused.

Lines 179: Is there any reference for this FFQ?

Results

Suggestion for lines 187-188: "Most of participants were female (62%) + plus other relevant characteristics. I would also include the age range.

The results would also improve with some revision of English/description of results

Discussion

Lines 221-223: suggestion " …the collection of robust information on the factors that determine our …regarding food and nutrition".

Lines 225: "...and evaluated the construct…"

Lines 231: NKAP
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