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Reviewer's report:

The authors revised the manuscript following the reviewers input but the manuscript still requires further revision:

* The way of calculating the bias at the populational level, without considering the individual level information is not very informative and properly done. It is not because that others have done something incorrect, that one should do it. To represent a populational level measurement, such as bias, a measure of variability is also needed! That does not mean that one will interpret the individual level information/dispersion such as Bland Altman. It will simply give more information around the measure of central tendency (mean or median), which are very important depending of the type of information assessed, such as inadequacy of diets.

* As for the correlation classification interpretation, there is no need to say someone else used the classification, when some else proposed. Many others could have used it. Unless, it becomes clear why you think it is important to mention.

* Still missing original information about energy adjustment.
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