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Reviewer's report:
Many thanks for the comprehensive job in addressing all of the issues raised in the first review. I have just a couple of further recommended changes.

Line 173-174 -In response to the comment from Reviewer 2 that "It was not clear to me how classifying prep work and cooking identified adolescents' cooking skills unless all children were actually asked to cook something?" you added the following sentence "Classifying these behaviors identified the adolescents' demonstrated skills and food preparation habits." This sentence is not clear and I am not sure it fully addresses the comment. I would suggest re-wording to say something like "Adolescents who were classified as demonstrating any of these behaviours were deemed to have demonstrated cooking skills and food preparation habits"

Line 223-224 - The new sentence "Regardless of number of demonstrations, each behavior was coded once." is unclear suggest re-wording to say "Each behaviour was coded as either exhibited or not exhibited, regardless of the number of times the behaviour was demonstrated"

Line 251-253 - The new sentence is unclear suggest re-wording to say "Participants were frequently seen to be browsing for food around their homes, but were less frequently seen to actually prepare foods for cooking or cook foods themselves."

Line 320-321 - States "Food advertisement exposure, for example, was not commonly seen in the sample, potentially due to the downward angle of the camera." I'm unclear why the camera
would be able to detect that the participant was viewing a food related TV programme or looking at a food related website but not capture exposure to food advertisements. Please clarify.
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